Artificial Intelligence In The Pedagogical Process: Technological Innovation, Transparency, Limits, And Responsibility

Alcides Feitosa Neto[1]; Alexandre Vidal De Sousa[2]; Denilson Albano Portácio[3]; Felipe Augusto Alves Correia Lima[4]; Fernanda Maria Diniz Da Silva[5]; Fernângela Diniz Da Silva[6]; Francisco José Lopes Cajado[7]; Irene Mendes Fontes[8]; Jocélia Araújo Costa[9]; Joilson Pedrosa De Sousa[10]; Maria Marcyara Silva Souza[11]; Nailton Rodrigues Maciel[12]; Onete Raulino Da Costa[13]; Palmina Alda Ferreira De Sousa[14]; Paulo Henrique Barbosa Sousa[15]; Rickardo Léo Ramos Gomes[16]; Roberto Augusto Caracas Neto[17].

1 (Master's Degree In Economics From The Federal University Of Ceará, Brazil); 2 (Master's Degree In History From The Federal University Of Ceará (UFC); 3 (Master's Degree In Letters From The Federal University Of Ceará (UFC); 4 (Doctoral Student In Education At The Graduate Program In Education, Faculty Of Education, Federal University Of Ceará); 5 (Postdoctoral Researcher In Education At The Federal University Of Ceará (UFC); 6 (Phd In Letters, With A Concentration In Comparative Literature, From The Federal University Of Ceará (UFC); 7 (Prof. Dr. In Agricultural Biotechnology (RENORBIO – UFC); 8 (Postgraduate Degree In Criminal Law From Uniateneu University Center); 9 (Master's Degree In Public Policy Evaluation From The Federal University Of Ceará (UFC); 10 (Master's Degree In Mathematics From The Federal University Of Ceará (UFC); 11 (Master's Degree In Sociobiodiversity And Sustainable Technologies, University Of International Integration Of The Afro-Brazilian Lusophony (UNILAB); 12 (Specialist In Brazilian History – Faculdade Única); 13 (Currently Pursuing A Doctorate In Education Sciences From Del Sol University (UNADES); 14 (Master's Degree In Public Policy Evaluation – Federal University Of Ceará (UFC); 15 (Ph.D. In Educational Sciences, FCSA (DINTER Program); 16 (15Doctorate In Biological Sciences - FICL; Master's In Phytotechnics - Federal University Of Ceará); 17 (Doctoral Student At The Academy Of The National Institute Of Industrial Property).

Abstract:

Background: Artificial intelligence has emerged as a disruptive force in education, increasingly integrating into the pedagogical process and enabling innovations such as personalized learning and automated assessment systems. Despite these advances, its incorporation raises relevant questions regarding algorithmic transparency, ethical boundaries in decision-making processes, and the responsibilities that must be shared among educational institutions, teachers, and technology developers.

Materials and Methods: This study adopts a qualitative approach and is characterized as bibliographic research. The methodological procedures consisted of reviewing and analyzing academic literature related to artificial intelligence in education, with emphasis on technological innovation, algorithmic transparency, ethical limits, and pedagogical implications of its use in educational contexts.

Results: The analysis indicates that the integration of artificial intelligence into pedagogical practice broadens methodological possibilities and contributes to the reorganization of educational practices. At the same time, it highlights the need for careful attention to ethical limits, transparency in algorithmic systems, and the responsibilities assumed by institutions and educators in the use of these technological resources.

Conclusion: The use of artificial intelligence in educational contexts should be accompanied by critical teacher education, continuous pedagogical supervision, and institutional regulations capable of ensuring that the adoption of these technologies remains aligned with educational purposes and with the quality of teaching and learning processes.

Key Word: Artificial Intelligence; Education; Ethical and Pedagogical Limits.

I. Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has produced rapid transformations across multiple sectors of society, and education has also been influenced by these changes. Within the pedagogical sphere, the use of educational chatbots, intelligent tutoring systems, and learning analytics platforms is already present in many institutions, supported by the discourse that seeks to promote a more dynamic form of teaching capable of considering students' realities and learning needs. These tools can contribute to the personalization of learning processes, improve the efficiency of assessment practices, and broaden access to information. At the same time, important debates have emerged regarding how to ensure that technological innovation does not compromise fundamental values such as transparency in algorithmic decision making and respect for ethical boundaries in educational environments.

In a country such as Brazil, where educational disparities remain evident, the introduction of AI in teaching presents both opportunities and challenges. On the one hand, it may contribute to addressing educational demands in geographically distant regions, including rural areas in the Northeast. On the other hand, its implementation requires careful attention so that technological systems do not reproduce or intensify existing social inequalities. Questions related to responsibility also become central in this context. For instance, who should be accountable for errors in automated assessment systems? How can the professional autonomy of teachers be preserved in increasingly automated educational environments? These issues guide current discussions concerning the responsible use of technological resources in education.

Within this context, Law No. 14.533, enacted on January 11, 2023, established the National Digital Education Policy (PNED). This legislation amended several existing laws, including Law No. 9.394 of December 20, 1996 (the National Education Guidelines and Framework Law), Law No. 9.448 of March 14, 1997, which transformed the National Institute for Educational Studies and Research (INEP) into a federal agency, Law No. 10.260 of July 12, 2001, which regulates the Student Financing Fund for Higher Education (FIES), and Law No. 10.753 of October 30, 2003, which established the National Book Policy (PNL). One of the principal objectives of the PNED is to define strategies that promote digital inclusion and encourage the use of Digital Information and Communication Technologies (DICTs) in educational institutions (Brasil, 2023).

In this perspective, the PNED aims to promote strategies that prioritize the development of digital, media, and informational literacy among young people and adults within the school environment, particularly in public institutions serving low-income populations. The policy seeks to foster the formation of digitally literate individuals who possess greater capacity to access and effectively use digital technologies. According to Brasil (2023), digital education is recognized as a curricular component in both elementary and secondary education, with emphasis on digital literacy and the teaching of computing. The policy also encourages the expansion of infrastructure through public–private partnerships (PPPs) capable of supporting the teaching of programming, robotics, and other digital competencies.

It is important to highlight that this legislation emerges in a context marked by profound transformations in the world of work, where digital technologies play an increasingly relevant role in the global economy. In 2016, during the World Economic Forum held in Davos, Switzerland, Klaus Schwab, then Executive Chairman of the organization and a prominent advocate of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, argued that this process is characterized by the transition of emerging technologies toward new socio-technical systems built upon the infrastructure established during the previous digital revolution. Magalhães and Vendramini (2018) observe that Brazil, although at a slower pace, has already incorporated digital technologies across several sectors. In agriculture, for instance, the use of biotechnology and genetic editing techniques has increased productivity, while robots and drones connected to the internet, equipped with image recognition sensors and artificial intelligence, are widely used to identify pests and adverse environmental conditions. In the educational field, within a society that is increasingly digital and technologically mediated, initiatives such as the PNED also respond to the demands that became more evident during the health crisis caused by COVID-19 between 2020 and 2023, which significantly accelerated discussions concerning digital infrastructure and technological integration in education.

In light of this context, the present research adopts a qualitative approach. Regarding its methods and procedures, the study is characterized as bibliographic research. The general objective of this manuscript is to critically examine the integration of artificial intelligence into the pedagogical process, considering its innovative possibilities, the requirements for algorithmic transparency, its ethical and pedagogical limits, and the institutional and professional responsibilities that arise from its implementation. The specific objectives include examining how artificial intelligence systems have been incorporated into contemporary pedagogical practices and identifying the methodological innovations associated with this process; critically analyzing the impacts of the opacity of artificial intelligence systems and automated decision making on assessment practices, teacher autonomy, and educational equity; and identifying the ethical, pedagogical, and formative limits of artificial intelligence in education, as well as the responsibilities attributed to the different actors involved in its adoption.

This article is organized into four main sections. The first section, the introduction, presents the theme, objectives, and relevance of artificial intelligence within the pedagogical process. The second section describes

the methodological procedures, detailing the qualitative approach and the bibliographic strategy adopted. The third section develops the theoretical framework, discussing key concepts related to technological innovation, transparency, limits, and responsibility. Finally, the concluding section presents critical reflections and future perspectives regarding the use of artificial intelligence in education.

II.   Material And Methods

The approach adopted in this research is qualitative in nature. The central focus lies in the analysis of technological innovations related to artificial intelligence within the pedagogical process, with emphasis on transparency, limits, and responsibility. This type of study is widely recognized in the scientific community for its capacity to provide contextualized analyses. In this case, it allows the examination of perspectives associated with the integration of AI in education, considering its implications for teaching, learning processes, and professional ethics (Leite; Silva; Martins, 2017).

Regarding the methods and procedures employed, the research is characterized as a bibliographic study. The investigation was conducted through a systematic review of previously published literature, including books, academic articles, and documents relevant to the field of study, with the purpose of compiling and analyzing existing knowledge on the subject. This approach involves a careful and rigorous examination of established scientific publications, which represents one of the fundamental foundations for the advancement of scientific knowledge. Such analysis is important because it enables the production of new interpretations grounded in previously documented studies, thereby contributing to the continuous development of scientific inquiry. According to Pereira et al. (2018), bibliographic research plays a central role in the development of theoretical and methodological frameworks, since the organization and interpretation of relevant contributions on a given topic provide a solid and comprehensive basis for further investigation. Yin (2016) emphasizes that this practice strengthens analytical consistency, as reflections are grounded in well-established and widely recognized theoretical perspectives. Consequently, this methodological approach allows for a more comprehensive discussion, ensuring that the arguments presented are supported by consolidated theoretical foundations.

For the purposes of this review, the selected sources were organized into four principal categories: (1) studies addressing the relationship between artificial intelligence and the pedagogical process; (2) literature that outlines prospective scenarios for educational practices influenced by AI; (3) studies that examine the effects of transparency and ethical limits in the application of artificial intelligence in education; and (4) publications that discuss the responsibilities associated with the use of artificial intelligence within educational contexts.

This categorization facilitated a broader and more contextualized understanding of the contributions of artificial intelligence to pedagogical practices, particularly through the circulation of innovative knowledge and methodologies. The bibliographic review was conducted through consultation of both national and international publications, ensuring coverage of the most relevant contributions concerning the role of artificial intelligence in the pedagogical process (Pereira et al., 2018).

III.   Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework of this academic study is structured around three thematic axes that guide and support the analytical perspective adopted in this research.

The first axis, entitled *Artificial Intelligence and Innovation in the Pedagogical Process*, addresses the incorporation of intelligent systems into teaching methods. This discussion highlights both the practical application of these technologies and the methodological transformations that emerge as a consequence of their integration into educational environments. Such changes are relevant for understanding how educational practices are gradually adapting to new technological tools that are increasingly present in classroom contexts.

The second axis focuses on the *Opacity of Intelligent Systems, Automated Assessment, and Their Effects on Teacher Autonomy*. This section examines the challenges associated with the transparency of algorithmic systems and the issues surrounding the use of automated assessment processes in education. It also explores the possible implications of these technologies for the professional role of teachers and educators, particularly with regard to decision-making processes and pedagogical autonomy within school settings.

Finally, the third axis, entitled *Ethical Limits and Pedagogical Responsibility in the Era of Artificial Intelligence*, presents a critical examination of the ethical risks associated with the use of artificial intelligence in education. This discussion considers the responsibilities that must be assumed by educational institutions as well as the new training demands that arise from the incorporation of such technologies into pedagogical practices. The integration of artificial intelligence into learning environments therefore requires careful reflection on the ethical considerations and institutional responsibilities involved in its educational use.

Artificial Intelligence and Innovation in the Pedagogical Process

As new technologies continue to emerge, it becomes increasingly important to understand how they operate and how they can be applied in different contexts. Magalhães and Vendramin (2018) identify several of

the principal technological developments of the contemporary period, including artificial intelligence, robotics, biotechnology, neurotechnology, blockchain, the Internet of Things (IoT), and three-dimensional printing, highlighting both their functions and practical applications (Table 1).

Table 1: Main Emerging Technologies

Technology	What It Does
Artificial Intelligence	Enables systems to learn without explicit programming. It is used in facial and voice recognition, autonomous vehicles, and the automation of processes and services.
Robotics	Develops robots for the automation of activities at progressively lower costs.
Biotechnology	Uses living organisms in the production of medicines, nutrients, chemical inputs, fuels, and a variety of materials.
Neurotechnology	Introduces electronic devices into biological organisms, with the capacity to improve health monitoring and the treatment of diseases, as well as to expand cognitive capabilities.
Blockchain	Records financial transactions in a distributed, immutable, transparent, and auditable digital ledger. It can also be applied to other purposes, such as supply chain monitoring and the management of records and certifications.
Internet of Things (IoT)	Connects machines, household appliances, vehicles, products, and other objects, including people, to the internet. It is used across multiple sectors, including urban management and residential environments.
Three-Dimensional Printing (3D)	Enables the production of objects using different materials through small-scale digital manufacturing systems.

Source: Magalhães and Vendramin (2018), adapted

The growing presence of artificial intelligence in the educational sphere has contributed to significant transformations in pedagogical practices, teaching methodologies, and the ways in which teachers and students interact with knowledge. The advancement of these technologies is closely associated with the expansion of digital environments that enable the organization of educational data, the automation of certain pedagogical tasks, and the diversification of possibilities for knowledge mediation. In this context, the presence of artificial intelligence in education extends beyond the simple use of technological tools, encompassing broader transformations in teaching and learning practices that increasingly interact with intelligent systems and digital environments characterized by high levels of interactivity.

According to Botelho et al. (2025), artificial intelligence has introduced relevant innovations into the organization of the educational process within school contexts, particularly through the use of systems capable of analyzing educational data, personalizing learning content, and providing automated support to students. These tools expand opportunities for the personalization of learning processes, allowing activities, learning trajectories, and study pacing to be adapted to the specific needs of each student. In this sense, technology assumes the role of a mediator in pedagogical action, influencing both didactic planning and the ways in which knowledge is presented and assimilated.

Barbosa (2023), in a comprehensive analysis of recent studies addressing the application of artificial intelligence in education, observes that a growing number of educational institutions have adopted tools based on algorithmic systems. These resources are used to support different academic activities, including instructional processes, student performance assessment, and the monitoring of academic progress. Among the most relevant applications are adaptive learning systems, intelligent tutoring systems, and learning analytics mechanisms. The latter are capable of analyzing and interpreting large volumes of data related to student performance, allowing educators to obtain a more detailed understanding of students' learning activities and academic development. These resources contribute to the identification of learning difficulties and support the implementation of more targeted pedagogical interventions aligned with the specific needs of each student. They also facilitate the generation of timely feedback, enabling both educators and students to monitor progress and identify areas requiring improvement. However, the author emphasizes the importance of conducting a critical evaluation of the potential effects of these technologies, particularly with regard to the quality of pedagogical interactions in the classroom and the role performed by teachers throughout the educational process.

For Buzato (2023), the integration of artificial intelligence into educational environments should not be interpreted merely as a technical improvement or as an instrument for increasing efficiency. The author argues that these technologies establish new relationships between individuals, machines, and knowledge, raising relevant questions concerning epistemological transformations within the field of education. From this perspective, artificial intelligence can be understood as part of a broader context in which the boundaries between human intellectual production and algorithmic processing become increasingly less distinct. Such dynamics invite reflection on the impact of these technologies on knowledge construction, intellectual authorship, and the participation of individuals within educational environments.

Fernandes (2023) highlights that the implementation of intelligent systems in education enables the automation of several pedagogical activities, including the correction of exercises, the organization of instructional content, and the provision of immediate feedback to students. These functionalities contribute to the development of more dynamic and efficient teaching and learning processes. In addition, they can assist teachers in optimizing their professional time and facilitate a more continuous and systematic monitoring of student learning trajectories. As a result, educators are able to follow the development of students more effectively over time. Nevertheless, the author emphasizes that the use of these technologies must be guided by clearly defined pedagogical criteria. The educational process involves multiple dimensions, including cognitive, social, and ethical aspects, which cannot be fully delegated to automated systems and remain fundamental to the comprehensive development of learners.

Based on these considerations, it becomes necessary to investigate systematically the principal applications of artificial intelligence within contemporary educational contexts. Such analysis is important for understanding how artificial intelligence may influence and enrich pedagogical practices, while identifying its most relevant educational applications. In Table 2, a synthesis is presented that examines several technological systems, their educational functions, and the pedagogical implications associated with the application of intelligent systems in learning environments. This table provides an overview of how such systems can influence and reshape educational practice.

Table 2 – Applications of Artificial Intelligence in the Pedagogical Process

AI-Based Technology	Application in the Educational Context	Pedagogical Implications
Adaptive Learning Systems	Adjust learning content and activities according to student performance	Promote personalized learning pathways
Intelligent Tutoring Systems	Simulate individualized pedagogical guidance	Expand student support beyond classroom time
Learning Analytics	Analyze data related to student performance and participation	Support evidence-based pedagogical decision-making
Feedback Automation	Automatically generate comments and corrections for learning activities	Reduce response time and enable continuous monitoring
Intelligent Educational Platforms	Integrate content, assessments, and academic monitoring tools	Contribute to the reorganization of technology-mediated teaching practices

Source: Prepared based on Botelho et al. (2025); Barbosa (2023); Buzato (2023); Fernandes (2023).

With the increasing presence of these technological tools in everyday contexts, it becomes necessary to reflect carefully on the limits and boundaries that technological innovation may reach within education. Such reflection is important for understanding how emerging technologies influence teaching and learning processes. In several educational contexts, artificial intelligence contributes to the organization of learning processes and to the improvement of their operational efficiency. These systems can enhance the ways in which information is structured, presented, and interpreted, offering a more coherent and systematic framework that supports users throughout the learning experience. At the same time, debates surrounding these technological transformations remain ongoing. There is still no clear consensus regarding whether these developments represent primarily improvements in operational efficiency or whether they indicate more substantial transformations in the conceptual foundations and practices of teaching, learning, and knowledge production.

Opacity of Intelligent Systems, Automated Assessment, and Impacts on Teacher Autonomy
The increasing presence of artificial intelligence within school environments has introduced complex technological systems whose internal operations are not always fully understood by teachers and educational administrators. Many of these systems operate through algorithmic models capable of analyzing large volumes of educational data in order to generate predictions, classifications, or recommendations concerning student performance. Although such resources may support decision-making processes in education, the lack of transparency regarding the criteria that guide these algorithms raises important questions related to reliability, accountability, and pedagogical oversight in the use of these technologies.

Holmes et al. (2022) argue that many educational artificial intelligence systems are based on complex computational processes that are often not entirely transparent, even to specialists in the field. These systems are designed to identify patterns within extensive datasets and to produce automated interpretations regarding learning behaviors, levels of engagement, and academic performance. However, when the criteria guiding these interpretations are not clearly accessible to educators, the implementation of such technologies may generate concerns related to trust, interpretability, and pedagogical responsibility. In this context, qualified human supervision becomes indispensable to ensure that algorithmic recommendations are carefully examined before being incorporated into educational decision-making.

According to Gomes et al. (2023), artificial intelligence tools are increasingly employed to support automated assessment systems, digital learning platforms, and academic performance monitoring systems. These technologies are capable of generating rapid feedback and organizing educational information in ways that support instructional planning. Nevertheless, the authors caution that excessive reliance on automated assessments may alter traditional forms of pedagogical judgment. When algorithm-generated results begin to guide evaluation processes without appropriate pedagogical analysis, there is a risk that the teacher's role in interpreting students' learning trajectories may gradually be replaced by technological interventions.

Similarly, Jesús (2024) notes that the implementation of intelligent systems in education may shape how student performance is evaluated and interpreted. Automated assessment tools can produce grades and predictive analyses that begin to influence institutional perceptions of learning trajectories. Although these mechanisms can assist in the systematic monitoring of academic progress, they also raise concerns related to the reproduction of biases, unequal treatment of students, and the reinforcement of educational disparities, particularly when algorithmic models are trained using limited or unbalanced datasets.

Within this scenario, teacher autonomy emerges as a significant issue. According to Paulino et al. (2026), the increasing adoption of artificial intelligence in educational institutions may generate tensions between technological assistance and professional autonomy in teaching practice. Intelligent systems can provide functionalities that assist with lesson planning, assessment processes, and the monitoring of student performance. However, if educational decisions increasingly depend on automated responses, educators may gradually lose the capacity to exercise critical judgment regarding teaching and learning processes. Preserving pedagogical autonomy therefore means ensuring that educational technologies function as supportive tools rather than mechanisms that replace professional decision-making.

In this context, the development of digital competencies among educators becomes an important factor for the responsible integration of artificial intelligence in education. Correia et al. (2025) emphasize that teachers require not only technical familiarity with digital tools but also a critical understanding of how algorithmic systems embedded in educational platforms operate. Such understanding enables educators to question automated recommendations, interpret analytical data with caution, and maintain an active role in defining pedagogical strategies and assessment processes.

Considering these issues, it is useful to synthesize some of the main aspects related to algorithmic opacity, automated assessment, and their implications for teacher autonomy and educational equity. Table 3 presents an organized overview of these dimensions in the context of the use of artificial intelligence systems in education.

Table 3 – Algorithmic Opacity and Implications for Educational Assessment and Teacher Autonomy

Dimension of AI Systems	Educational Application	Implications for Teaching and Evaluation
Algorithmic opacity	Limited understanding of internal decision processes	Difficulty for teachers to interpret or question automated recommendations
Automated assessment	AI-based grading and performance classification	Risk of overreliance on algorithmic evaluation without pedagogical review
Learning analytics systems	Data-driven monitoring of student engagement and outcomes	Increased dependence on analytical dashboards for academic decisions
Predictive educational models	Identification of academic risks and performance trends	Possibility of reinforcing bias present in historical educational data
AI-assisted decision making	Institutional use of automated recommendations in academic management	Need for human supervision and pedagogical validation

Source: Prepared based on Holmes et al. (2022); Gomes et al. (2023); Jesús (2024); Paulino et al. (2026); Correia et al. (2025).

The synthesis presented in **Table 3** indicates that the integration of artificial intelligence systems into education requires a careful balance between technological innovation and pedagogical responsibility. The adoption of automated tools for analysis and assessment must be closely monitored by teachers and educational institutions to ensure that educational decisions remain grounded in well-defined pedagogical criteria and supported by qualified human supervision.

Ethical Limits and Pedagogical Responsibility in the Age of Artificial Intelligence
The growing presence of artificial intelligence in the educational context has generated significant debate regarding the ethical limits that must guide the adoption of these technologies in teaching and learning processes. Although AI-based tools can enrich didactic resources and support pedagogical practices, they also raise concerns about technological dependence, the role of human mediation in teaching, and the formative consequences

associated with the intensive use of automated systems. In this sense, it becomes essential to reflect on the implications of these technologies for teaching–learning relationships and for the configuration of teachers' professional practice.

According to Guimarães Jr. et al. (2025), the emergence of artificial intelligence in the educational field introduces challenges that extend beyond purely technical considerations. The authors emphasize the need for continuous ethical reflection on the use of these tools, particularly regarding how pedagogical decisions may be influenced by automated systems. It is essential to discuss the limits of technological mediation, especially when algorithms begin to influence student assessment, monitoring processes, or even the production of educational content, so that education does not lose its focus on critical formation and interpersonal interaction.

In the same direction, Malta et al. (2024) emphasize that the application of artificial intelligence in the field of education must be accompanied by responsibility and transparency. The authors argue that the adoption of such technologies should consider the pedagogical, social, and ethical implications resulting from their use within the school environment. Furthermore, they maintain that educational institutions must establish clear guidelines to regulate the responsible use of these tools, ensuring that their application remains aligned with broader educational values and formative objectives.

Mattozo and Cardozo (2024) also highlight concerns regarding the quality of pedagogical interactions as artificial intelligence becomes increasingly integrated into educational contexts. According to the authors, if an appropriate balance between technological innovation and effective pedagogical presence is not maintained, the intensive use of automated technologies may reduce opportunities for dialogue, active listening, and collaborative knowledge construction. In this context, preserving the human dimension of education becomes a central challenge for teachers and institutions seeking to incorporate digital resources without compromising the quality of educational relationships.

Another important aspect concerns the implications of artificial intelligence for intellectual authorship and student development. Santos et al. (2025) point out that generative AI tools have expanded the possibilities for text production and learning support. However, the authors warn that the indiscriminate use of such systems may weaken authorship practices and hinder the development of cognitive skills associated with the critical construction of knowledge. For this reason, the pedagogical use of these technologies must be accompanied by clear guidance regarding academic ethics and intellectual integrity.

Teacher education capable of addressing these technological transformations critically is also an essential part of this debate. According to Santos, Miranda, and Santos (2025), it is crucial for educators to develop competencies that enable them to understand how artificial intelligence influences educational processes and to reflect on its pedagogical implications. The authors argue that teacher training programs should incorporate discussions on digital ethics, pedagogical responsibility, and the critical use of emerging technologies. Without such preparation, there is a risk that AI tools may be incorporated into everyday school practices without adequate reflection on their formative consequences.

Within this perspective, Valente (2021) emphasizes that pedagogical mediation remains a central element of the educational process, even in the context of the expansion of intelligent technologies. The author argues that the presence of artificial intelligence in educational practices does not eliminate the role of the teacher as a mediator of knowledge. On the contrary, it requires educators to assume new responsibilities related to the critical guidance of these tools, ensuring that technology is employed responsibly and in alignment with educational objectives.

In light of these considerations, it becomes pertinent to systematize some of the principal ethical limits associated with the use of artificial intelligence in educational contexts, as well as the responsibilities attributed to the various actors involved in its implementation. **Table 4** presents a synthesis of these dimensions, considering aspects related to institutional responsibility, teacher practice, and the formative challenges associated with the integration of artificial intelligence into educational environments.

Table 4 – Ethical Limits and Shared Responsibilities in the Educational Use of Artificial Intelligence

Dimension	Description	Educational Implications
Technological dependence	Excessive reliance on AI tools for academic activities	Reduction of students' critical engagement and learning autonomy
Human interaction in education	Replacement or reduction of direct pedagogical dialogue	Weakening of interpersonal educational relationships
Intellectual authorship	Use of generative AI in academic production	Risks to academic integrity and authorship recognition
Teacher professional role	Transformation of teaching practices through AI integration	Need for pedagogical mediation and critical supervision of technologies
Institutional responsibility	Policies regulating AI use in educational environments	Development of ethical guidelines and governance frameworks

Dimension	Description	Educational Implications
Teacher education and training	Preparation for critical and responsible AI use	Inclusion of ethics and digital literacy in teacher development programs

Source: Elaborated based on Valente (2021); Malta et al. (2024); Mattozo and Cardozo (2024); Santos et al. (2025); Santos, Miranda, and Santos (2025); Guimarães Jr. et al. (2025).

As summarized in Table 4, the introduction of artificial intelligence in the educational context requires a careful balance between technological innovation, ethical principles, and a strong commitment to pedagogical responsibility. When technological innovations are incorporated into educational environments, it is essential to consider not only their potential benefits but also the ethical implications and the responsibilities that educators hold toward their students and toward the teaching–learning process. In this regard, the critical and reflective engagement of educators, educational administrators, and developers of educational content becomes fundamental to ensure that the adoption of these technologies occurs in alignment with pedagogical objectives, without compromising the integrity and quality of educational processes.

IV. Final Considerations

Artificial intelligence is already reshaping how teaching occurs, how learning takes place, and how educational processes are organized. In recent years, several digital systems have become part of the school environment, enriching pedagogical approaches and expanding the use of data to monitor learning trajectories. At the same time, this movement has stimulated debates concerning algorithmic transparency, ethical limits, and institutional responsibilities related to the use of these tools in everyday school and university contexts. The use of this technology for educational purposes also raises ethical issues that have not yet been widely discussed by society, while regulatory frameworks and legal provisions remain at early stages of development. In Brazil, for instance, regulatory initiatives addressing artificial intelligence emerged only in 2023.

The broader and more advanced use of artificial intelligence in the future may increase productivity through the automation of tasks previously performed by low-skilled workers, while simultaneously expanding the demand for highly qualified professionals. However, this transformation may also contribute to the definitive elimination of certain categories of employment. In addition, the growing dependence resulting from easy access to information, combined with limited critical evaluation and insufficient attention to the reliability of sources, has begun to influence how individuals interpret responses generated by artificial intelligence systems.

In light of these considerations, the research achieved its general objective by critically examining the integration of artificial intelligence into the pedagogical process, considering both the innovations associated with these technologies and the challenges related to system transparency, ethical boundaries, and the responsibilities attributed to educational institutions and teachers. Likewise, the specific objectives were accomplished by analyzing the presence of artificial intelligence in contemporary pedagogical practices, discussing the effects of algorithmic opacity and automated decision-making on assessment processes and teacher autonomy, and identifying ethical limits and shared responsibilities in the adoption of these technologies.

The articulation of pedagogical, technological, and institutional dimensions is essential for ensuring that the integration of artificial intelligence in education occurs effectively and in alignment with learning objectives. The findings indicate that these dimensions may contribute to the restructuring of educational practices and to the diversification of approaches used to monitor learning. Nevertheless, they also highlight important challenges related to the understanding of algorithmic systems, technological dependence, the preservation of intellectual authorship, and the indispensable role of pedagogical mediation in educational processes.

Future studies may further enrich the understanding of the influence of artificial intelligence on education, particularly with regard to assessment practices, teacher education, and the development of institutional policies capable of regulating the responsible use of these technologies. Empirical investigations examining real cases of artificial intelligence implementation at different educational levels may also provide valuable insights into how teachers and students interact with these tools and what pedagogical outcomes emerge from such interactions.

References

[1]. Baker, T.; Smith, L. *Educ-Ai-Tion Rebooted? Exploring The Future Of Artificial Intelligence In Schools And Colleges.* London: Nesta, 2019. Available At: Https://Www.Nesta.Org.Uk. Accessed On: Mar. 5, 2026.

[2]. Barbosa, C. R. A. C. Transformations In Teaching And Learning Through The Use Of Artificial Intelligence: A Systematic Literature Review. *Recima21*, V. 4, N. 5, 2023. Https://Doi.Org/10.47820/Recima21.V4i5.3103.

[3]. Brazil. Law No. 14,533, Of January 11, 2023. Establishes The National Digital Education Policy And Amends Laws No. 9,394 Of December 20, 1996 (Law Of Guidelines And Bases Of National Education), No. 9,448 Of March 14, 1997, No. 10,260 Of July 12, 2001, And No. 10,753 Of October 30, 2003. Brasília, Df: Presidency Of The Republic, 2023. Available At: Https://Www.Planalto.Gov.Br/Ccivil_03/_Ato2023-2026/2023/Lei/L14533.Htm. Accessed On: Mar. 9, 2026.

[4]. Botelho, L.; Ferreira, N. S.; Santos, L.; Gusmão, E. M.; Melo, D. S.; Silva, C. V. S.; Silva, L. S.; Siqueira, T. G. S.; Ferreira, V. A.; Silva, L. A. S.; Silva, M. R.; Oliveira, C. E. F.; Mendes, C. F.; Melo, K. A. P. Technological Innovation: Artificial Intelligence (Ai)

In Education And Transformations In The Teaching And Learning Process. *Caderno Pedagógico*, V. 22, N. 12, P. E20540, 2025. Https://Doi.Org/10.54033/Cadpedv22n12-071.

[5].   Buzato, M. E. B. Artificial Intelligence, Posthumanism, And Education: Between Simulacrum And Assemblage. *Dialogia*, N. 44, P. E23906, 2023. Https://Doi.Org/10.5585/44.2023.23906.

[6].   Correia, J.; Silva, Z.; Silva, I.; Melo, M.; Au-Yong-Oliveira, M.; Amador, F. Digital Competencies Of Basic Education Teachers: Challenges For The Pedagogical Integration Of Technologies And Artificial Intelligence. *Revista Ibero-Americana De Humanidades, Ciências E Educação*, V. 11, N. 8, P. 3087–3098, 2025. Https://Doi.Org/10.51891/Rease.V11i8.20775.

[7].   Fernandes, A. F. Artificial Intelligence And Education. *Revista Bius – Boletim Informativo Unimotrisaúde Em Sociogerontologia*, V. 39, N. 33, 2023.

[8].   Gomes, F.; Fernandes, A.; Rios, F.; Silva, M.; Bohrer, M. Contributions Of Artificial Intelligence In The Educational Context. *Revista Ilustração*, V. 4, N. 2, P. 37–46, 2023. Https://Doi.Org/10.46550/Ilustracao.V4i2.152.

[9].   Guimarães Jr., Et Al. Ethical And Pedagogical Challenges Of Artificial Intelligence In Education. *Interference Journal*, V. 11, N. 2, P. 976–992, 2025. Issn: 2009-3578. Https://Doi.Org/10.36557/2009-3578.2025v11n2p976-992.

[10].   Holmes, W. Et Al. *Artificial Intelligence In Education: Promise And Implications For Teaching And Learning.* Paris: Oecd Publishing, 2022. Https://Doi.Org/10.1787/9789264086487-En.

[11].   Jesús, M. Influence Of Artificial Intelligence In The Educational Field. *Vinculatégica Efan*, V. 10, N. 6, P. 122–140, 2024. Https://Doi.Org/10.29105/Vtga10.6-1039.

[12].   Leite, M. C. S. R.; Silva, A. J. P.; Martins, E. S. Qualitative Research In Doctoral Dissertations: An Analysis Of The 2013–2015 Triennium. *Revista Expressão Católica*, V. 6, N. 1, P. 43–53, Jan./Jun. 2017.

[13].   Magalhães, R.; Vendramini, A. The Impacts Of The Fourth Industrial Revolution. *Gvexecutivo*, V. 17, P. 40–43, Jan./Feb. 2018. Available At: Https://Repositorio.Fgv.Br/Server/Api/Core/Bitstreams/D8411b75-1eda-4b1f-B51a-Caaa357f33c7/Content. Accessed On: Mar. 8, 2026.

[14].   Malta, D. P. L. N. Et Al. Ethics And Responsibility In The Use Of Artificial Intelligence In The Field Of Education. *Revista Ibero-Americana De Humanidades, Ciências E Educação*, V. 10, N. 12, 2024. Issn: 2675-3375. Https://Doi.Org/10.51891/Rease.V10i12.17599.

[15].   Mattozo, E.; Cardozo, P. F. Ethical Challenges And Pedagogical Innovations: Artificial Intelligence In Contemporary Education. *Revista Ibero-Americana De Humanidades, Ciências E Educação*, V. 10, N. 11, P. 1–20, 2024.

[16].   Paulino, R. O.; Costa, G. M. C.; Barbosa, C. M.; França, W. L. A.; Pereira, Et Al. *Scientific Research Methodology.* Santa Maria: Federal University Of Santa Maria, 2018.

[17].   Santana, C. T.; Marinho, I. G.; Solart, S. M. P.; Cavalcante, M. F. A. F.; Paes, T. M. B. Artificial Intelligence And Teacher Autonomy: Support Or Substitution? *Lumen Et Virtus*, V. 17, N. 57, P. E12320, 2026. Https://Doi.Org/10.56238/Levv17n57-084.

[18].   Santos, E.; Lamosa, R.; Martins, I.; Paiva, F. V. Generative Artificial Intelligence In Basic And Higher Education: Ethical Challenges And Pedagogical Possibilities. *Revista Interinstitucional Artes De Educar*, Rio De Janeiro, V. 11, N. 2, P. 45–68, 2025.

[19].   Santos, G. A. C. P.; Miranda, F. S. M. P.; Santos, R. Ethical Challenges Of Artificial Intelligence In Teacher Education. *Revista Interinstitucional Artes De Educar*, Rio De Janeiro, V. 11, N. 1, P. 22–40, 2025.

[20].   Valente, J. A. Artificial Intelligence And Pedagogical Mediation: Ethical Dilemmas And Formative Possibilities. *Educar Em Revista*, Curitiba, N. 81, P. 1–20, 2021.

[21].   Yin, R. K. *Qualitative Research From Start To Finish.* 2nd Ed. New York: The Guilford Press, 2016. Isbn: 978-1-4625-1797-8.